Preserve

App F IX 4

1937
(Jud)

Previous References
Jud 81-29 86 1-8

Jud File No 124-37
Serial Nos 163

Later References
Jud 86-40(3,4)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos three

Part B for July 1937

SUBJECT

Establishment and other incidental Charges for the Collection of the excess water Charges in the Baroda Cantonment & Municipality

(Sd 3) To the Chief Engineer the Jud Commissioner, Baroda Area the Vice President, the Comptroller the Jud Secretary
No Jud 766-8 of 15-7-37

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—2-8-36

Directs that the ——— be debited to "Collection Charges", and that only the net revenue be credited to the Municipality

ml 124-37-1 2132

No P.W 1333-S
Encls. 3

PUBLIC WORKS SECRETARIAT,

Dated Bangalore, 26.10. 1937

27 OCT

The Secretary to Government, Public Works Department,
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local Self Government Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, copies of the extracts of the Financial Secretary's Notes dated 5th April 1934, 10th May 1934 and 3rd August 1937, in regarding to the Bangalore City Municipality meeting the cost of the extra expenditure to be incurred in connection with the collection of excess water charges and arranging for the reading of meters quarterly instead of monthly, I have the honour to request you to be so good as to address the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, to move the Municipality to agree to the proposal.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Lakshmana Rao
For Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

V.S.

Draft letter to the Municipal Comm., Bangalore city as at A is submitted for approval

Rao 11/11/37

20.10

2 11/11

(Hiry & SS).

What is the existing arrangement in the Mysore City municipality? Does payment of excess water charges permit the licensee to apply any further charges? Are they paying any further amount for connection? Specify the steps required for collection of excess water charges, minimum charges, licence fees and other charges, if any, now collected in the Mysore City.

Engr. 30/11/37

Engr has agreed

13/11/37

Serial No

3 Information on the several points is not available here - If approved the information will be obtained from the Chief Engineer's Office

This may be done

Rmr 18/11/37

4 PW Secretariat with compliments for kindly furnishing information on the several points

Rmr 18/11

18 NOV. 1937

718 Dept No 328 19-11-37

18/11 Dept No 90 16 & 38.

Returned with compliments

5 Government Orders No L 1129-31/Ml 260-32-13 dated the 9th August 1938 and No L 2410-12/Ml 260-32-16 dated the 12th October 1933, stipulate that a sum of Rs 4,00,000/- should be paid by the Bangalore City Municipality for a daily supply of 3 million gallons including the cost of distribution The above orders indicate the sources of income to make up the said sum of Rs 4 lakhs, but no mention is made about the agency for collection, of excess water charges, water rate on Government buildings and other incidental charges relating to the collection of water revenue, etc

6 It is reported by the Executive Engineer, Water Supply Division, that the Municipal Commissioner who was requested to bear the cost of the additional establishment found necessary for remodelling of water reading and revenue collection system, has stated that

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0264—GPB—30 000—18 8 37

Pay of the staff for collection of excess water charges in Bangalore city

ME File No. 124 — 2132/2 of 1937 — General and Revenue Secretariat

no additional sum could be paid over and above the fixed sum of Rs.4 lakhs.

7) It is nowhere mentioned that the Municipality is absolved from any liability to pay for the additional establishment charges for the collection of water revenue, which work is being attended to by the Water Supply Division on behalf of the Municipality.

8) The charges on account of collection of the excess water charges are chargeable to the working expenses.

9) I therefore agree that the cost of the additional establishment, and other incidental charges relating to the collection of water revenue should be charged to "collection charges" and the net collections only credited to the Municipality. Orders of Government may kindly be obtained accordingly.

M. [illegible] 17/8/38
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Secretary,
L.S.G.Dept.

HER/13th.

6

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
24 AUG. 1938

For
16-8-38 Euln-

11 Members)

10) The details and the total cost of the staff employed for checking excess water charges noted

MEE
26/8/38

For
26.8 Euln.

Meeting the pay of the Staff for collection of excess water charges in B. city

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 2132/L of 193 | [App F III–2

Serial No.

11) This may be sent to the P W D Secretariat for furnishing the information

Rmr 26/8 for P W Secy

No. To. A 11 dated the 11th Jan 1939

12) Returned, with compliments. 2. A statement furnishing the information required is enclosed

Jack Phalia 4/2/39

Secy agrees C.S.S. 4/2

JAN 1939 GOVT. OF MYSORE

13) Submitted for orders with reference to para 10 supra. The cost of the establishment for collection works comes to Rs. 9,920 per annum

Rmr 18/1/39 for Secy (J S) 2/1911-

7/29

14) It may be

15) Mr Comr may be moved

2.2

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. 124 of 1937

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

b)
Draft letter is submitted for
Consideration

Secy (Levy & JS)

for

1 2 3 4

The Municipal Commr, Bangalore city
No L 6404 dated 14-2-39
Calls for his remarks on the
proposal of the Chief Engineer.
No L 6405 dated 14-2-39
Copy to Chief Engineer

From the Mcl Commr, Bcity, his Opp
No H. Dis 2629/38 Dt 18-4-39
states that under GO No DL 2038-5 Mcl
81-29-8 Dt 5-9-1930 the Mcly is not liable
obliged to pay any extra cost in connection
with the recovery of excess water charges
Submitted for perusal and orders
with reference to flag A.

The file may kindly be referred to
the Chief Engr again for remarks

Secy (Levy & JS)

Forwarded with Compliments - to the Chief Engineer
Chief Engineer

Collection of excess water charges - pay of collection staff.

PUBLIC WORKS SECRETARIAT

File No. of 193

Serial No

Returned, with compliments.

2. The only course open under the circumstances is to credit to the Municipality only the net collections after charging the cost of additional establishment and other incidental charges relating to the collection of water revenue to "Collection Charges", as already stated in my Note — dated the 13th August 1935 (vide para 9 supra).

Secretary to Government
L. S. G. Dept.

HER/5th.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
16 MAY 1939
No. A827

SECY LOCAL SELF GOVT
11 MAY 1939
GOVT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
-7 JUNE 1939
No. 1.8.1.8.

WD 0164Z—GPH—10,000—8-5-38

5619
L

General and Revenue Secretariat | M/L File No 124 of 1937 | App F III-2

Serial No.	
27	Draft G.O. is submitted for consideration. ○ May be approved. 2/10/37 (Law Dept)
	1677 Law 2/10/37
	Financial Sec's Concurrence. Draft and form sent.
	28/9/37 For perusal 7/10/37
	Issued 20/7
3	The Chief Engineer " Mil Examr, Bangalore city & Comptroller Trans Fd Sectt No. L 766-8 dated 20-7-37 Directs that the expenditure for the collection of water charges in B city be debited to 'Collection charges' & only the net amount credited to the Mcty.

25/7/37
NOTE—Contd. Sheet.

P. W. DEPARTMENT. WATER SUPPLY DIVISION.

Statement showing the establishment entertained in connection with the collection of water revenue.

No.	Designation of hands.	-1933-1934- Number employed.	Pay + allowance.	Salaries in year.	-1938-1939- Number employed.	Pay + allowance.	Salaries. in year.	Remarks.
			Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	
1.	Meter Inspector.	1	50 + 15.	780/-	1	60 + 15.	900/-	Increase due to grade of Rs.40-5/2-60 and not due to heavier work or special circumstances of 1938-39.
		1	47 + 15.	744/-	1	50 + 15	780/-	-do- -do-
2.	Check Inspector.	-	-	-	1	50 + 15	780/-	Newly sanctioned under the new scheme.
3.	Bill Collectors.	4	25 + 5.	1,440/-	-	-	-	Abolished in new scheme.
4.	Ledger Clerks.	1	45/-	540/-	-	-	-	Six on Rs.30/- instead of two formerly.
		1	30/-	360/-	6	30/-	2,160/-	
5.	Assistant Cashier.	-	-	-	1	30/-	360/-	New scheme.
6.	Meter Readers.	-	-	-	6	30 x 6	2,160/-	New scheme.
7.	Manager for Range Office (half pay for collection share of work).	1	45/2	270/-	1	50/2	300/-	Prior to 1933 the Cashier of Range was doing this work in addition to establishment etc. Now Manager is doing half this work and half administrative as ministerial head.
8.	Pensioner on Rs.30/- half pay. (Half pay).	1/2	30/2	180/-	1/2	30/2	180/-	
10.	Pay of Accountant in the Division Office for the conduct of Revenue Audit.				1/2	70/-	840/-	
	Total.	9		4,814/-	18 + 3/2		8,820/-	

10.	Pay of Accountant in the Division Office for the conduct of Revenue Audit		1	70/-	840/-
	Total	9	4,314/-	1[illegible] + 3/2	8,820/-

23.12.1938

Executive Engineer,
WATER SUPPLY DIVISION.

Financial Secretary's No 220A Note dated 5th April 1934, on the proposal for additional establishment for Chamaraj Sagar and Water Works Range Office, Bangalore.

The proposal involves extra recurring expenditure of Rs 9,264 per annum and it is not known whether this extra expenditure, if permitted, will be paid by the Municipality, in addition to the lumpsum payment of Rs.4 lakhs per annum, since it is to be incurred in connection with the collection of Revenue or whether the expenditure will have to be borne by us In the former case, the concurrence of the Municipality to the proposal will be necessary In the latter case, the expenditure will add to our working expenses and reduce the net receipts and has therefore to be permitted only to the extent found absolutely necessary

Unlike Electrical meters, we do not get revenue in every case in water Only in cases where the actual consumption of water is more than the free allowance, we will get revenue, while as regards electrical power we will get a minimum amount in every case.

At present, I believe, monthly readings are taken and quarterly bills are sent The work will be halved if in future the City is divided into 2 or 3 blocks and readings are made once in two or three months in each block This will mean fewer readings and fewer entries in accounts and fewer bills and less cost The total amount received remaining unchanged.

This is all the more necessary as Revenue we get is inadeuate for the outlay and it is necessary to incur as little as possible for collection charges and unlike electrical revenue every connection will not pay but only those in which there is excess

The additional establishment now asked for is stated to be necessary for the reading of meters, etc , after meters are installed in about 6,500 houses and the latter work is expected to be completed by the end of June 1935 The need for sanction

ing the entire establishment now is therefore not clear. It is desirable to defer consideration of the above proposal till the end of December 1934, as we will then be in a position to know the number of meters installed etc. In the meanwhile, if any additional establishment is immediately required, one meter inspector on Rs 40 and one clerk on Rs.30 may be sanctioned temporarily.

Inld) S. S.
5-4-1934.
Financial Secretary.

" Extract "

Financial Secretary's minute dated 10th May 1934 on the question of additional establishment for Chamaraj Sagar and Water Works , Range Office, Bangalore.

I still consider that it is not necessary to take meter readings every month in all cases and that it is sufficient if meter readings are taken once in two or three months at least in some places If this proposal is accepted, the number of Meter Inspectors, Ledger Clerks, etc , can be reduced to a considerable extent This may be examined again.

In the meanwhile, the appointment of one Meter Inspector on Rs 40 and one clerk on Rs 30 may be sanctioned temporarily as also any further establishment which may be considered absolutely necessary immediately

Inld) S S
10-5-1934
Financial Secretary.

"Extract"

Financial Secretary's Minute dated 3-8-1937, on the proposal for additional establishment for Chamaraj Sagar and Water Works Range Office, Bangalore - No. 757 dated 23-6-1937.

21. Certain additional establishment was sanctioned for the Bangalore Water Supply Range Office in 1934, involving an extra cost of Rs 4,303/- per annum (including 1 Assistant Cashier and 4 Ledger Clerks), and the present proposal is to sanction the following additional establishment -

1 Manager on Rs 50-4-70
2 Clerks on Rs 30/- each.
1 Typist on Rs 30/-
1 Record Keeper on Rs 30/-.

22. This involves an extra recurring expenditure of Rs 2,200/- per annum. It is stated that the Bangalore City Municipality have declined to meet the extra expenditure, and that the same will have to be borne by Government. According to the present agreement, the Bangalore City Municipality has to pay to Government Rs 4 lakhs yearly, including the cost of distribution and the excess water charges, etc., are credited to the Municipality. Any expenditure incurred by Government in connection with the collection of the excess water charges, etc , has, I think, to be borne by the Municipality (in addition to the lump sum payment of - - Rs 4 lakhs referred to above) vide my note dated the 5th April 1934 on the file - and the Municipality has again to be addressed or in the alternative the collection work may be handed over to the Municipality. This question may be separately examined.

23. There is no provision for the extra establishment now - - suggested, in the current year's budget, and the source for meeting the expenditure has not been pointed out. Provision for the above establishment was also not proposed by the Department in the draft budget sent by it. The return from the New Bangalore Water Works is already very low (only about 3% against about 5% paid by Government on borrowed funds in the shape of interest), and - - further increase in the existing level of expenditure, particularly recurring expenditure, has to be avoided as far as possible. The proposal is therefore not financially acceptable.

24. The appointment of a Manager on Rs 50-4-70 does not appear

appear to be absolutely necessary, and I believe, no other Sub Division has got a Manager on this pay. The need for a whole-time record keeper and typist is not clear and cannot be regarded as urgent. Even as regards clerks, since there may not be excess consumption (excess over the prescribed free allowance) in a large number of cases, it may be possible to manage with the existing staff. Though meter readings are taken every month, bills are prepared and payments made only quarterly. Whether the meter readings may not be arranged for quarterly may be considered. If this is arranged for, the existing number of meter readers may be reduced or their services may also be utilised for work in the Range Office. If any extra staff is considered absolutely necessary, one or two clerks on Rs 30/- may be sanctioned on a temporary basis for one year for the present, the cost being met by reappropriation during the current year.

" EXTRACT "

n/ 26-10

Government of his Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

G O No L 2410 12—MI 260 32 16 dated 11 –12th October 1933

Water Supply to Bangalore City

Passes orders regarding the —— from the Sri Chamarajendra Water Works at Thippagondanahalli

---

READ—

Government Order No L 11-9 31—MI 260 32 3 dated the 9th August 1933 laying down the conditions of the supply of water to the Bangalore City from the Sri Chamarajendra Water Works at Thippagondanahalli

ORDER NO L 2410 12—MI 260 32 16 DATED BANGALORE, THE 11—12TH OCTOBER 1933

In their order read above, Government directed that for the sum of Rs 4 lakhs to be paid by the Municipality annually towards water charges including the cost of distribution a supply of water up to a limit of 2½ million gallons per day be made to the Municipality that a further supply up to a limit of 2¾ million gallons per day be given to the Municipality provided the supply was possible, and that to meet the excess charges due to this increased supply, a subvention be paid by Government to the Municipal Council of a sum up to Rs 50,000 per annum for a period not exceeding five years

2 Government have reconsidered the matter, as a result of representations made by a deputation of the Municipality, and are pleased to direct in modification of the order dated 9th August 1933, that a supply of 3 million gallons of water per day be given to the Municipality for the sum of Rs 4 lakhs to be paid by it every year and that any supply beyond that quantity should be paid for by the Municipality at rates to be settled in due course

3 The arrangement should be given effect to from the date of the supply of water from the Reservoir

4 The Chief Engineer is requested to take action early for entering into an agreement with the Municipality as directed already on the terms as modified above

R RANGA RAO
Chief Secretary to Government

To—The Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City (through the President Bangalore City Municipal Council)
The Comptroller to Government through the Financial Secretariat
The Chief Engineer in Mysore

Fwd —

WD 613—GPB—30—2-10-33

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 14-2-1939

No L 6 LSG 4/Rev 1
Fol 124-37-2

From

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City.

THROUGH THE PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith, for favor of remarks a copy of Note. No. 90 dated the 16th August 1938 received from the Chief Engineer of Mysore in regard to the Bangalore City Municipal Council Meeting the extra expenditure to be incurred in connection with the collection of excess water charges in the Municipality.

I have the honor to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- P.H. Krishna Rao,

Secretary to Government,
Local Self-Government Department.

P.H.

No L 6 LSG 14-2-39

M e m o .

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore for information.

By order,

Mir Safar Imam

for Secretary to Government,
Local Self-Government Department.

P.H.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 16-2-39.

Signed by Jun. Sr. S H

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section PWD
File No 124-39
Serial No 2

No L 6404 / Secy.

To The Municipal Commr
Bangalore city
(through the President)

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith, for favour of [redacted] remarks, a copy of Note No 910 dated 16-8-38 received from the Chief Engineer of Mysore in regard to the Bangalore city Municipal meeting the extra expenditure to be incurred in connection with the collection of excess water charges in the Municipality.

I have etc
for
Secy to Govt

WD 0278—GPB—30 000—4 3 38

From L 6405
14 2 39
Copy to Chief Engr. for info. Rom[illegible]

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

SECY LOCAL SELF GOVT 19 APR 1939 GOVT OF MYSORE

No. [illegible] Dis. 2 629-38

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore, 18th *Apr* *1939*

From

S NARAYANA RAO ESQ M A (HONS)
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
Bangalore City

To

P.H. Krishna Rao, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local Self Government Department,
Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to your letter No L 6404/M1 124-37-2 dated 14th February 1939, I have the honour to state that the Municipal Council have resolved at their meeting held on 8th April 1939, that under G.O No L 2033-5/M1 81-29-8, dated 5th September 1930, the Municipality is not obliged to pay any extra cost in connection with the recovery of excess water charges Copies of the resolutions of the Managing Committee and Municipal Council in the matter are herein enclosed

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S Narayana Rao

Municipal Commissioner

18 4 39

Forwarded with compliments,

Honorary President

Kas.18/4

Proceedings of the Ordinary General Meeting of the Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Saturday the 8th April 1939 at 12 Noon in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal Offices, Bangalore.

--oOo--

Present.

N. Balakrishnaiya, Esq., B.A.,LL.B.,
Honorary President.

Messrs.

1. R. Venkateswamy
2. Belur Srinivasiengar, B.A., B.L.,
3. Vaidyaraj D. Rameswara Sa
4. S.N.M. Razvi
5. K.M. Naganna
6. B.S. Shankarappa Setty
7. I.K. Purusothama Naidu
8. D. Venkataramanappa.
9. B. L. Byanna
10. M. Munisvamy
11. K. Gubbaiya, B.A., LL.B.,
12. P. Subbarama Chetty
13. M.R. Narasimha Iyengar
14. O.S. Nasarulla Sheriff, M.A., LL.B.,
15 Mirza Azizulla Beig.
16. Dr. M. Royan, M.D.,
17 V. Chickayallamma.

—

Absent.

Messrs.

1. W.H. Hanumanthappa
2. K.T. Bhashyamiengar, B.A., B.L.,
3. Ramalal Thiwari
4. C.N. Narasinga Rao, B.A., B.L.,
5. K. Shamaraja Iyengar, B.A.,
6. C.M. Garudachar, B.A.,
7 P.M. Ramasarma
8. M. Krishnaswami Pillai
9. S.K. Venkatarenga Iyengar, B.A., LL.B.,
10. S.L. Mannaji Rao.
11. B. Abdur Rahmon, B.A.,
12. Sri R. Kalyanamma.

—

Subject No. 33.

Opinion of the Managing Committee dated 3rd April 1939 in the matter of payment of expenditure incurred by the Water Supply Department in connection with the collection of excess water supply charges.

-

Mr. K.M. Nagamma moved and Mr. B L. Byanna seconded

RESOLUTION - Approved

SD N BALAKRISHNAIYA,

Honorary President

"C o p y"

1874

Municipal Commissioner

18 4 39

Kas 18/4

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MANAGING COMMITTEE DATED 3rd April 1939

6 Council resolution No. 14 of 21st March 1939 referring the consideration of the letter No. L. 5404/M1 124-37-2 dated 14th February 1939 from the Secretary to Government, L S.G Department forwarding a note from the Chief Engineer re the extra expenditure to be incurred in connection with the collection of the excess - water charges in the Municipality, to the Managing Committee.

-

As per G.O No L 2033-5/M1 81/29-8 dated 5th September 39 the cost of distribution is included in the amount of Rs 4,00000 fixed for payment by the Municipality, the Municipality is not obliged to pay any extra cost in the matter of recovering excess water charges

SD N BALAKRISHNAIYA,

Chairman.

"C o p y"

S. Narayanaswamy

Municipal Commissioner 18/4

18 4 39

kas 18/4

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 19-7- 1939

Signed by

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

Section Mc

File No 124-37

Serial No. 3

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Ry.o No L 1129-31/Mg 260-32-13 dated 9-8-1933 and Ry.o No L 2410-12/Mc 260-32-16 dated 12-10-1933 stipulating that a sum of Rs 4 lakhs should be paid annually to Govt by the Bangalore City ~~Order thereon—No.~~ Municipality including the cost of distribution for a daily supply of 3 million gallons of water, any excess supply on said quantity being paid for by the Municipality

2) Correspondence ends

under Q.O. Note No. 805 dated 9-5-1939 from the Chief Engineer relating that the above orders indicate the powers of means to make up the sum of Rs 4 lakhs payable by the Municipality but that no mention is made about the agency for the collection of sewer water charges and [illegible] about the powers for meeting the cost of the establishment [illegible] for the purpose and requesting that as the collection work is being attended to by the Water Supply Division in behalf of the Municipality, [illegible] the cost of the additional establishment and other incidental charges relating to the collection of water revenue

List of Enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

may be ordered to be deleted to "Collection Charges" and the net collection only credited to the Municipality

3) Correspondence ending with letter No A1 D Dis 2629/38 dated 18-4-39 from the Municipal Commr, Bangalore city stating that the Municipal Council who considered the question referred to above have resolved that the Municipality is not obliged to pay any extra cost in connection with the recovery of sewers water charges, as the cost of distribution is included in the sum of Rs 4 lakhs payable to the Municipality

WD 0280—GPB—22,500—14 3 38

Order No. W. 9766-B

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Govt observe that the sum of Rs 4 lakhs per annum payable by the Bangalore city Municipality ~~Council~~ only includes the cost of distribution and not the charges incurred for the collection of water revenue which is credited to the Municipality and that therefore the resolution of the Municipality Council cannot ~~not~~ be accepted. Govt therefore direct that the expenditure incurred for the collection of water revenue be charged to "Collection charges" and that only the net revenue be credited to the Municipality

By order and in the name of the Governor,
[illegible]
Secretary to Govt. Local Affairs Dept.

To
The Chief Engineer
" The Comm'r, B. city Mun'l Corpn
" Comptroller Mun'l Fd Secrt.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Expln

Read :—

Government Order No.L.1129-31/M1. 260-32-13 dated 7th August 1933 and Government Order No.L.2410-12/M1. 260-32-16 dated 12th October 1933 stipulating that a sum of Rs.4/- lakhs should be paid annually to Government by the Bangalore City Municipality including the cost of distribution for a daily supply of 5 million gallons of water, any supply in excess of the said quantity being paid for by the Municipality.

2. Correspondence ending with Unofficial Note No.805 dated 9th May 1939 from the Chief Engineer stating that the above orders only indicate the sources of income to make up the sum of Rs.4/- lakhs payable by the Municipality but that no mention is made about the agency for the collection of excess water charges and about the source for meeting the cost of the establishment entertained for the purpose and requesting that as the collection work is being attended to by the Water Supply Division on behalf of the Municipality, the cost of the additional establishment and other incidental charges relating to the collection of water revenue may be ordered to be debited to 'Collection charges' and the net collection only credited to the Municipality.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.M.C.B.2627/38 dated 10th April 1939 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City stating that the Municipal Council who considered the question referred to above have resolved that the Municipality is not obliged to pay any extra cost in connection with the recovery of excess water charges, as the cost of distribution is included in the sum of Rs.4/- lakhs payable by the Municipality.

oooOooo

Order No. L766-8/ME124-37-3

r annum by the Mangalore City Municipal Council includes only the cost of distribution and not the charges incurred for the collection of water revenue which is credited to the Municipality and that, therefore, the resolution of the Municipal Council cannot be accepted. Government, therefore, direct that the expenditure incurred for the collection of water revenue be charged to "Collection Charges" and that only the net revenue be credited to the Municipality.

Sd/- Sayad Ahmad
Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City.

(Through the President, Municipal Council)

The Comptroller, through the Financial Secretariat.

Agn/B.